DOI: 10.1111/add.16143

## ADDICTION

# SSA

## A critique of the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council CEO statement on electronic cigarettes

Colin P. Mendelsohn<sup>1</sup> | Wayne Hall<sup>2</sup> | Ron Borland<sup>3</sup> | Alex Wodak<sup>4</sup> | Robert Beaglehole<sup>5</sup> | Neal L. Benowitz<sup>6</sup> | John Britton<sup>7</sup> | Chris Bullen<sup>8</sup> | Jean-François Etter<sup>9</sup> | Ann McNeill<sup>10</sup> | Nancy A. Rigotti<sup>11</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Unaffiliated, Sydney, Australia

<sup>2</sup>National Centre for Youth Substance Use Research, The University of Queensland, St Lucia, Queensland, Australia

<sup>3</sup>Melbourne Centre for Behaviour Change, School of Psychological Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

<sup>4</sup>Emeritus Consultant, Alcohol and Drug Service, St Vincents' Hospital, Sydney, Australia

<sup>5</sup>University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

<sup>6</sup>Department of Medicine, University of California San Francisco, California, USA

<sup>7</sup>University of Nottingham, Nottingham, Nottinghamshire, UK

<sup>8</sup>School of Population Health, The University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

<sup>9</sup>Institute of Global Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland

<sup>10</sup>National Addiction Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, UK

<sup>11</sup>Harvard Medical School, Director, Tobacco Research and Treatment Center, Massachusetts General Hospital, Massachusetts, Boston, USA

Correspondence Colin P. Mendelsohn, Unaffiliated, Sydney, Australia. Email: mendel@bigpond.net.au

#### Abstract

This paper critically analyses a statement by Australia's National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) on e-cigarettes in May 2022 that will be used to guide national policy. We reviewed the evidence and the conclusions drawn in the NHMRC Statement. In our view, the Statement is not a balanced reflection of the benefits and risks of vaping because it exaggerates the risks of vaping and fails to compare them to the far greater risks of smoking; it uncritically accepts evidence of harms from e-cigarettes while adopting a highly sceptical attitude towards evidence of their benefits; it incorrectly claims that the association between adolescent vaping and subsequent smoking is causal; and it understates the evidence of the benefits of e-cigarettes in assisting smokers to quit. The Statement dismisses the evidence that vaping is probably already having a positive net public health effect and misapplies the precautionary principle. Several sources of evidence supporting our assessment were published after the NHMRC Statement's publication and are also referenced. The NHMRC Statement on e-cigarettes does not present a balanced assessment of the available scientific literature and fails to meet the standard expected of a leading national scientific body.

KEYWORDS E-cigarette, nicotine, public health, smoking, tobacco treatment, vaping

## INTRODUCTION

The Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of Australia's peak health and medical research organization, the National Health and Medical Research Council

(NHMRC), published a statement on e-cigarettes in June 2022 (the 'Statement') [1]. In our view, the Statement fails to meet the high scientific standard expected of a leading national scientific body because it confuses association with causation; it uncritically accepts evidence of

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. © 2023 The Authors. *Addiction* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Society for the Study of Addiction. harms while adopting a highly sceptical attitude towards evidence of benefits; and inappropriately applies the precautionary principle.

The Statement ignores evidence-based reports by the UK Royal College of Physicians, Public Health England and the UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, all of which arrived at very different conclusions on the risks and benefits of e-cigarettes [2–4]. It is also inconsistent with the findings of a systematic review commissioned by the Office of Health Information and Disparities (OHID) in the English government and the 2022 Cochrane Review [5, 6]. Both of these were published after the Statement, but each reviewed evidence available to the NHMRC. The Statement relies heavily upon an analysis by the National Centre for Epidemiology and Public Health at the Australian National University, which commits multiple inferential errors [7, 8].

In this paper, we focus upon the more serious flaws in the Statement. No new or unpublished data were provided for this critique.

## **RELATIVE SAFETY OF E-CIGARETTES**

"All e-cigarette users are exposed to chemicals and toxins that have the potential to cause adverse health effects"; "Recent reports have found over 200 unique chemicals used in e-liquids" (page 3)

In the absence of quantification of the dose of these chemicals, these claims are misleading [9]. In fact, most of the chemicals in the vapour are at low or trace doses and below known thresholds for harm to health [10, 11].

A relative risk assessment is critically important for smokers who use vaping as a substitute for cigarette smoking. The Statement fails to compare the number, amount and toxicity of ingredients in vapour to those in tobacco smoke and hence fails to make clear that smokers are exposed to particulate matter and many more toxicants and carcinogens at far higher doses than e-cigarette users [5, 12].

### "Due to limited long-term evidence, it is difficult to assess the relative harms and benefits of using ecigarettes compared with smoking tobacco cigarettes" (page 4)

A dose-response relationship exists between exposure to toxicants from tobacco smoking and disease risk and is probably the same for vaping [13]. There are substantially fewer harmful and potentially harmful chemicals (HPHCs) in vapour compared to smoke, and at lower concentrations, although there is wide variability between devices [11, 14, 15].

Levels of biomarkers of exposure to HPHCs are significantly lower in former smokers who vape. A review of nine studies of a wide range of biomarkers (published after the NHMRC Statement) concluded that 'exclusive EC (e-cigarette) use was associated with lower levels of biomarkers of harm than exclusive use of combustible tobacco, or than dual use' [16]. ADDICTION

Exposure to carcinogens is substantially reduced after smokers switch to vaping [5]. Based on the level of carcinogens and their potency, the life-time cancer risk from vaping has been estimated as less than 0.5% of the risk from smoking [17].

Clinical trials and surveys of smokers who completely switched to e-cigarettes have shown short- to medium-term improvements in asthma [18], chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) [19], blood pressure [20, 21], muco-ciliary clearance [22], respiratory infections [23], lung function [24], respiratory symptoms [25, 26], cardiovascular markers [27, 28] and gum disease [29]. These changes are in the direction of less impairment and are likely to persist over the longer term.

Leading health and government organizations have concluded that, while the long-term risk of using e-cigarettes will not be fully known for many decades, it is highly likely to be far less harmful than smoking [2, 5, 30–34]. In addition, the dose-response relationship between levels of toxicant exposure and smoking-related disease, biomarker data and clinical studies suggests a benefit of using e-cigarettes compared to smoking.

In the absence of long-term data, modelling studies are a wellaccepted way of estimating the population impact of an intervention. Numerous modelling studies suggest that using nicotine e-cigarettes to quit smoking has a net public health benefit under all plausible scenarios [35, 36] and would do so even if it generated 20% of the harm of smoking [37].

The requirement for policy to wait for evidence of long-term safety is not applied to many other medical interventions. A contemporary example is the process used for making COVID vaccines available [38]. Nicotine vaping devices have been used by millions of consumers for far longer than many approved medicines or consumer products. Some ex-smokers have used them for more than a decade and, to date, reports of serious adverse effects are extremely rare [3, 5].

## "E-cigarettes have been reported to overheat, catch fire or explode and there is high certainty of evidence that in these cases e-cigarettes cause serious burns and injuries, although this is not common. In some cases, these events have resulted in death, life-threatening injury, permanent disfigurement or disability and major property damage." (page 4)

Lithium-ion batteries are present in many different consumer products and can cause serious injuries if they ignite or explode. However, this statement exaggerates the risk. Since e-cigarettes were introduced there have only been two deaths reported globally from battery explosions [39, 40].

According to Public Health England, the risk of fire from ecigarettes 'appears to be comparable to similar electrical goods' [41]. Between 2017 and 2021, the London Fire Brigade reported that there were 5076 fires caused by cigarettes and cigarette lighters and 15 fires caused by vaping products, and no fire-related injuries or fatalities in London [5], although by 2021 more than half as many people vaped as smoked in the United Kingdom (7.7 versus 13.3%) [42]. ADDICTION

SS

#### "There is high certainty of evidence from case reports, surveillance reports and case studies that e-cigarette use can lead to seizures" (page 4)

A small number of case studies have reported seizures in people using nicotine e-cigarettes, but these cases do not establish causation and hence do not qualify as 'high-certainty' evidence [43]. Many of these cases had a pre-existing seizure disorder, and some had used other drugs [44]. If nicotine e-cigarette use was a cause of seizures, an association between cigarette smoking and seizures would also be expected—but none has been reported.

## "There is also high certainty of evidence that intentional or accidental ingestion of nicotine e-liquids can result in poisoning (via nicotine toxicity) which, although not common, can be severe and even fatal" (page 4)

This statement overstates the risks of nicotine poisoning. Overdosing from nicotine e-liquids is rare and usually results in minor symptoms of short duration [31]. E-cigarettes and nicotine accounted for only 0.015% of all calls to Australian Poisons Centres from 2009 to 2016 [45]. Most of these cases had mild, self-limiting symptoms. There were no cases with severe symptoms and no deaths.

The OHID systematic review (published after the NHMRC Statement) identified 22 studies reporting data concerning poisonings related to vaping products [5]. Most involved young children accidentally swallowing e-liquid, including two fatalities. Where exposure was intentional among adults there were reports of 17 deaths. Most accidental poisoning cases were preventable, underscoring the importance of child-proof packaging and safety warnings [5, 46].

### "There is high certainty of evidence that e-cigarette use by smokers and never smokers can result in Ecigarette or Vaping Associated Lung Injury (EVALI)" (page 4)

There is no 'high-certainty' evidence that nicotine vaping is a cause of EVALI, a serious and sometimes fatal lung condition [47]. The outbreak of EVALI in North America in 2019 was strongly linked to vitamin E acetate (VEA), a contaminant added to illicit tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) vaping oils [48]. VEA is converted at high temperatures to ketene, which is toxic to the lungs. When VEA was removed from the illicit supply chain, EVALI disappeared in early 2020 and no further cases were reported, despite the fact that nicotine vaping continued to be widespread [48]. Some EVALI patients denied vaping THC but vaped nicotine, raising concerns that nicotine vaping was a possible cause. However, no potential causal agent has been identified in nicotine vapes. Furthermore, VEA is not soluble in nicotine e-liquids [49] and has never been detected in them. Two recent Australian cases of severe acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) have been attributed to nicotine vaping despite neither meeting the EVALI case definition because the authors failed to exclude other plausible diagnoses [50]. In one case, a young woman had a history of urosepsis and polydrug use that could have produced her respiratory symptoms [51, 52]. The other case, an older male, had a long history of heavy tobacco smoking and COPD that preceded his e-cigarette use. His condition was due more probably to an infection related to COPD [53].

# "diacetyl and acetylpropionyl are known to cause irreversible lung damage" (page 5)

This alarming statement is seriously misleading. Diacetyl and acetylproprionyl are flavouring agents that are rarely used and are now banned in Australia [46]. Prolonged exposure to high doses of diacetyl in popcorn-microwave factory workers has been associated with a severe lung disease, bronchiolitis obliterans, also known as 'popcorn lung' [54]. However, there has never been a case of this condition reported from using nicotine e-cigarettes nor from cigarette smoking, which delivers diacetyl levels hundreds of times higher than those in nicotine vapour [55, 56].

## TOBACCO SMOKING CESSATION

# "E-cigarettes are not proven ... effective cessation aids" (page 8)

The Statement uses differing standards of evidence in assessing the effectiveness of different methods of smoking cessation. For example, it concludes that nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) and pharmaceuticals are effective, based on evidence from randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of short duration in clinical populations seeking assistance to quit. However, the Statement discounts the same type of evidence for the effectiveness of e-cigarettes.

The Statement describes the evidence as of 'low certainty'. However, the evidence is accumulating and continues to strengthen. The 2022 Cochrane Review (published after the NHMRC Statement) concluded that 'there is high-certainty evidence that ECs with nicotine increase quit rates compared to NRT' [relative risk (RR) = 1.63, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.30-2.04] and that 'in absolute terms, this might translate to an additional four quitters per 100 (95% CI = 2-6)' [6]. Other meta-analyses report that electronic cigarettes are superior to NRT, although their authors differ in their confidence in the results [57–60].

A recent network meta-analysis of 171 RCTs of all smoking cessation medications published after the Statement concluded that vaping was the most effective monotherapy, followed by varenicline and NRT [61].

Observational evidence suggests that people who smoke are more likely to quit successfully using with modern devices than with the cig-a-like devices used in earlier studies [62, 63]. In other studies,

factors for smoking and vaping (confounders) have found that the association largely disappears [83, 84].

The gateway hypothesis also predicts that an increase in the uptake of e-cigarettes among youth will be followed by an increase in cigarette smoking at a population level. Contrary to this prediction, increases in youth vaping have been accompanied by declines in youth and young adult smoking rates in the United Kingdom, the United States and New Zealand [85–87]. The rate of decline also appears to have accelerated from the time that vaping became popular. Population surveys also suggest that vaping is more likely to divert young people from smoking, rather than to encourage them to smoke [88–90].

Most vaping by never-smokers is experimental, and transient and regular vaping is rare [87, 91, 92]. There is also some evidence that vaping first (before trying smoking) reduces the risk of becoming a smoker [93]. Importantly, it does not appear that youth vaping leads to sustained cigarette use, which is the major public health concern [85, 89, 90, 94].

#### **PUBLIC HEALTH IMPACT**

## "Although tobacco smoking rates have declined ... there is no evidence that this is a result of increased ecigarette use" (page 8)

Population studies suggest that e-cigarettes increase the rate of quit attempts and quitting success compared to not using an e-cigarette. In the United Kingdom, the United States, New Zealand and other countries where vaping rates are higher than in Australia, the decline in smoking rates has accelerated since vaping became widely available and the rate of decline is faster than in Australia [42, 86, 95–98]. The decline in smoking has many causes, but vaping appears to be making a significant contribution.

A recent Australian population-based study found that vaping during a smoking cessation attempt was associated with greater success compared to not using e-cigarettes [69].

In a large representative sample in the United States, 'e-cigarette use was associated with an increase in smoking cessation at the population level' [70]. In two large US population studies, current e-cigarette use was associated with increased past 12-month quit attempts and successful smoking cessation among established smokers [99].

In England, the Smoking Toolkit Study shows a clear association between the prevalence of e-cigarette use and changes in population quitting rates after adjusting for a range of potential confounders [100]. Beard estimated that, in 2017, an additional 50–70 000 smokers in England stopped smoking by using a vaping product who would otherwise have continued to smoke [101].

After vaping nicotine was legalized in New Zealand in August 2020, there was a rapid increase in adult daily vaping from 3.5 to 6.1% from 2021 to 2022, associated with an unprecedented 33% decline in adult daily smoking from 11.9 to 8% [86].

The population impact of an intervention is a function of its effectiveness as well as its reach [102]. Vaping is the most popular aid for

smokers who did not intend to quit smoking did so after trying vaping [64, 65]. These 'accidental quitters' are not included in conventional RCTs.

The Statement also dismisses supportive evidence of the effectiveness of vaping from UK stop smoking services [5], observational studies [66–68], population studies [69, 70] and declines in national smoking rates [42, 71]. While these studies cannot prove causality, their results are consistent with those of RCTs. The 'triangulation' of evidence from different study types adds strength to causal inferences since their results point to the same conclusion [72, 73].

#### "In smokers randomised to nicotine e-cigarettes, dual use was more common than quitting at trial completion" (page 9)

The Statement raises concerns about dual use (concurrent smoking and vaping) in a large RCT by Hajek at study completion [26]. Dual users are a heterogenous group, consisting of predominant smokers, dual daily users and predominant vapers [74]. Only dual users with sustained daily smoking and vaping are of potential concern, but the frequency of smoking and vaping are not mentioned in the paper or supplementary materials.

Dual use is very likely to be less harmful than exclusive smoking, as most dual users who are trying to quit titrate their nicotine intake and substantially reduce smoking [75]. A significant proportion of dual users in the Hajek study (44 of 345) reduced their smoke intake by at least 50% [26]. Significantly lower levels of biomarkers of exposure in dual users compared to exclusive smokers have been demonstrated when smoking is reduced [16, 75, 76].

Dual use with e-cigarettes is a transitional phase for many smokers, just as it is with NRT. Many vapers initially experiment with different devices and learn how to use them over time, and many dual users go on to quit smoking or vaping or both completely [77]. Many studies show improved clinical outcomes from dual use, such as reduced blood pressure [21] and improved asthma control [78].

#### TOBACCO SMOKING UPTAKE

# "the evidence suggests that e-cigarette use leads to tobacco cigarette uptake" (page 8)

There is no convincing evidence that e-cigarette use is a gateway to smoking. There is an association between vaping and cigarette smoking, but weak evidence that this is a causal relationship. A more plausible explanation is that young people who engage in one form of risky behaviour, such as vaping, are more likely to engage in other risky behaviours such as smoking, hazardous alcohol consumption and illicit drug use [79]. Shared risk factors for vaping and smoking such as genetic factors [80] and environmental, psychological and social causes [81] create a 'common liability' for risk-taking [82]. More rigorous prospective studies that adjust for a wide range of common risk

ADDICTION

SS

quitting or reducing smoking in Australia [95], the United States [103] and England [100]. Because of its proven effectiveness and wide reach, vaping is likely to have a greater population effect than any cessation therapy.

A modelling study (published after the NHMRC Statement) examined the impact of relaxing nicotine vaping regulations in Australia, so vaping products were as widely and legally accessible as in the United States [104]. Assuming an excess risk of vaping of 5% that of smoking, the study estimated that 104 200 smoking- and vapingattributable deaths (SVADs) and 2.05 million life-years lost (LYLs) would be averted during 2017–80. Assuming a 40% excess risk, an estimated 70 000 SVADs and 1.2 million LYLs would be averted.

### THE PRECAUTIONARY PRINCIPLE

"Given that e-cigarettes are relatively new products, the impact of nicotine e-cigarette use on most clinical outcomes is unknown. ... a precautionary approach to e-cigarettes, particularly among never smokers, remains appropriate" (page 5)

Invoking the precautionary principle to prevent the use of much less harmful smoke-free products is unjustified in the face of the massive burden of smoked tobacco products [105]. In Australia, up to two-thirds of deaths in current smokers can be attributed to smoking [106].

The precautionary principle requires policymakers to compare the risks of introducing a product with the risks of delaying its introduction. In the case of vaping, the relatively small risks of harm will be outweighed by the far more substantial harms from delaying access to current smokers. The precautionary principle is inappropriately applied in these circumstances [107].

Further studies of daily vaping for 2 years or more are needed to more precisely define the long-term risk. However, in the absence of conclusive long-term evidence of harms, the future population impact of vaping can be estimated with modelling studies. The majority of such studies, especially the more rigorously designed and conducted studies, have concluded that the public health benefits of vaping are considerably greater than the risks, even when modelling the impact of significant increases in youth vaping [35–37].

## CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

"It is also important to consider whether the authors of the research had any conflicts of interest that could bias their findings or whether the research was funded by an organisation with a financial interest in the outcomes" (page 10)

Funding by the vaping and tobacco industries is not the only way in which statements on e-cigarettes can be biased.

Public health advocates who have opposed the introduction of ecigarettes can be biased in their interpretation of the evidence in support of their predetermined policy position. It is important to note that three members of the NHMRC Electronic Cigarette Working Committee have published papers opposing vaping and have established views that can influence the interpretation of the data [108]. Other members of the committee represent organizations that have made strong public anti-vaping statements.

#### CONCLUSION

The Statement on e-cigarettes by the CEO of the NHMRC has selectively cited evidence in support of its 2017 Statement rather than objectively evaluating this position in the light of research published since that date [109]. The Statement exaggerates the risks of vaping and fails to compare them to smoking; incorrectly claims that the association between adolescent vaping and subsequent smoking is causal and discounts evidence of the benefits of e-cigarettes in assisting smokers to quit. The Statement dismisses the evidence that vaping is probably already having a positive net public health effect, and misapplies the precautionary principle.

Many of the leading scientists in the field internationally hold more supportive views on the potential of e-cigarettes as a strategy for tobacco control [110]. An independent and impartial Australian review of the evidence is required.

#### **DECLARATION OF INTERESTS**

C.P.M. was an unpaid board member of the Australian Tobacco Harm Reduction Association (ATHRA), a registered health promotion charity, from October 2017 to January 2021. ATHRA accepted unconditional seed funding from the vape retail industry to become established. Funding ceased in March 2019. C.P.M. was a Director of ATHRA in March 2018 when it received a donation from KAC Communications. The donation was sourced from a surplus arising from the Global Forum on Nicotine conference in May 2017. C.P.M. is the author of Stop Smoking Start Vaping, published by Aurora Press. C.P. M. has never received payments from electronic cigarette or tobacco companies. A.W. has been an unpaid board member of the Australian Tobacco Harm Reduction Association (ATHRA), a health promotion charity, since October 2017. ATHRA received unconditional funding for establishment costs from small Australian vape businesses. Vape industry funding has not been accepted since March 2019. A.W. was a Director of ATHRA in March 2018 when it received a donation from KAC Communications. The donation was sourced from a surplus arising from the Global Forum on Nicotine conference in May 2017. A.W. has never received payments from electronic cigarette or tobacco companies. N.L.B. is consultant to pharmaceutical companies that market or are developing smoking cessation medications and is an expert witness in litigation against tobacco companies. C.B. is the lead investigator and recipient of research contracts from the NZ Ministry of Health on tobacco cessation and tobacco control in New Zealand; a co-investigator on grants funded by the NZ Health Research

Council; and is a Board member and President-Elect of the Society for Research on Nicotine and Tobacco. C.B. received payment for a consultancy to J&J Japan on nicotine replacement therapy, in 2019. N.A. R. has received no funding (current or past) from e-cigarette or tobacco companies. N.A.R. is a consultant for, and her institution has received research funding from, Achieve Life Sciences for an investigational smoking cessation pharmacotherapy. All other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Colin P. Mendelsohn: Conceptualization-lead; formal analysis-lead; project administration-lead; supervision-lead; writing – original draft-lead. Wayne Hall: Writing—review and editing. Ron Borland: Writing—review and editing. Alex Wodak: Conceptualization; writing—review and editing. Robert Beaglehole: Writing—review and editing. Neal L. Benowitz: Writing—review and editing. John Britton: Writing—review and editing. Chris Bullen: Writing—review and editing. Jean-François Etter: Writing—review and editing. Ann McNeill: Writing—review and editing. Nancy A. Rigotti: Writing—review and editing.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None.

#### ORCID

Colin P. Mendelsohn <sup>D</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9367-8870 Wayne Hall <sup>D</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1984-0096 Ron Borland <sup>D</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0059-178X Alex Wodak <sup>D</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0098-4225 Neal L. Benowitz <sup>D</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2041-8124 Jean-François Etter <sup>D</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1426-3157 Ann McNeill <sup>D</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6223-4000

#### REFERENCES

- National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC). CEO Statement: Electronic Cigarettes. Canberra, Australia: NHMRC; 2022. Available at: https://www.nhmrc.gov.au/health-advice/all-topics/ electronic-cigarettes/ceo-statement (accessed 7 November 2020).
- Royal College of Physicians (RCP). Nicotine Without Smoke: Tobacco Harm Reduction. London, UK: RCP; 2016. Available at: https://www. rcplondon.ac.uk/projects/outputs/nicotine-without-smoke-tobaccoharm-reduction-0 (accessed 7 November 2020).
- McNeill A, Brose LS, Calder R, Simonavicius E, Robson D. Vaping in England: an evidence update including vaping for smoking cessation, February 2021: a report commissioned by Public Health England. London, UK: Public Health England; 2021. Available at: https:// assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/ uploads/attachment\_data/file/962221/Vaping\_in\_England\_ evidence update February 2021.pdf (accessed 7 November 2020).
- A. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Tobacco: Preventing
- Uptake, Promoting Quitting and Treating Dependence. 2021. Available at: www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng209 (accessed 7 November 2020).
- McNeill A, Simonavicius E, Brose LS, Taylor E, East K, Kuilova E et al. Nicotine vaping in England: an evidence update including health risks and perceptions, September 2022. A report commissioned by the Office for Health Improvement and Disparities. London, UK: Office for Health Improvement and Disparities. 2022. Available at: https://

#### www.gov.uk/government/publications/nicotine-vaping-in-england-2022-evidence-update (accessed 7 November 2020).

- Hartmann-Boyce J, Lindson N, McRobbie H, Butler AR, Bullen C, Begh R et al. Electronic cigarettes for smoking cessation. Cochrane Database Syst Rev; 2022. Available at: https://www.cochranelibrary. com/cdsr/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD010216.pub7/full (accessed 7 November 2020).
- 7. Banks E, Yazidjoglou A, Brown S, Nguyen M, Beckwith F, Daluwatta A *et al.* Electronic cigarettes and health outcomes: systematic review of global evidence. Report for the Australian Department of Health. Canberra, Australia: National Centre for Epidemiology and Population Health; 2022. Available at: https:// openresearch-repository.anu.edu.au/bitstream/1885/262914/1/ Electronic%20cigarettes%20health%20outcomes%20review\_2022\_ WCAG.pdf (accessed 7 November 2020).
- Mendelsohn CP, Wodak A, Hall W, Borland R. A critical analysis of 'Electronic cigarettes and health outcomes: systematic review of global evidence'. Drug Alcohol Rev. 2022;41:1493–8.
- Bus JS. 'The dose makes the poison': Key implications for mode of action (mechanistic) research in a 21st century toxicology paradigm. Curr Opin Toxicol. 2017;3:87–91.
- Nyakutsikwa B, Britton J, Bogdanovica I, Boobis A, Langley T. Characterising vaping products in the United Kingdom: an analysis of tobacco products directive notification data. Addiction. 2021;116: 2521-8.
- Goniewicz ML, Knysak J, Gawron M, Kosmider L, Sobczak A, Kurek J, et al. Levels of selected carcinogens and toxicants in vapour from electronic cigarettes. Tob Control. 2014;23:133–9.
- 12. US Department of Health and Human Services (USDHHS). How Tobacco Smoke Causes Disease: The Biology and Behavioural Basis for Smoking-Attributable Disease. A Report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta, GA: USDHHS, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health; 2010. Available at: https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK53017/ (accessed 7 November 2020).
- US Department of Health and Human Services. The Health Consequences of Smoking–50 Years of Progress. A Report of the Surgeon General. 2014. Available at: https://www.surgeongeneral.gov/library/reports/50-years-of-progress/full-report.pdf (accessed 7 November 2020).
- Margham J, McAdam K, Cunningham A, Porter A, Fiebelkorn S, Mariner D, et al. The chemical complexity of e-cigarette aerosols compared with the smoke from a tobacco burning cigarette. Front Chem. 2021;9:743060.
- Nicol J, Fraser R, Walker L, Liu C, Murphy J, Proctor CJ. Comprehensive chemical characterization of the aerosol emissions of a vaping product based on a new technology. Chem Res Toxicol. 2020;33:789–99.
- 16. Hartmann-Boyce J, Butler AR, Theodoulou A, Onakpoya IJ, Hajek P, Bullen C, et al. Biomarkers of potential harm in people switching from smoking tobacco to exclusive e-cigarette use, dual use or abstinence: secondary analysis of Cochrane systematic review of trials of e-cigarettes for smoking cessation. Addiction. 2023;118(3):539–45. https://doi.org/10.1111/add.16063
- Stephens WE. Comparing the cancer potencies of emissions from vapourised nicotine products including e-cigarettes with those of tobacco smoke. Tob Control. 2018;27:10-7.
- Polosa R, Morjaria JB, Caponnetto P, Caruso M, Campagna D, Amaradio MD, et al. Persisting long term benefits of smoking abstinence and reduction in asthmatic smokers who have switched to electronic cigarettes. Discov Med. 2016;21:99–108.
- Polosa R, Morjaria JB, Prosperini U, Busà B, Pennisi A, Malerba M, et al. COPD smokers who switched to e-cigarettes: health outcomes at 5-year follow up. Ther Adv Chronic Dis. 2020;11: 2040622320961617.

#### ADDICTION

- Polosa R, Morjaria JB, Caponnetto P, Battaglia E, Russo C, Ciampi C, et al. Blood pressure control in smokers with arterial hypertension who switched to electronic cigarettes. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2016;13:1123.
- Farsalinos K, Cibella F, Caponnetto P, Campagna D, Morjaria JB, Battaglia E, et al. Effect of continuous smoking reduction and abstinence on blood pressure and heart rate in smokers switching to electronic cigarettes. Intern Emerg Med. 2016;11:85–94.
- Polosa R, Emma R, Cibella F, Caruso M, Conte G, Benfatto F, et al. Impact of exclusive e-cigarettes and heated tobacco products use on muco-ciliary clearance. Ther Adv Chronic Dis. 2021;12:1–9.
- Miler J, Mayer B, Hajek P. Changes in the frequency of airway infections in smokers who switched to vaping: results of an online survey. J Add Res Ther. 2016;7:1–3.
- Cibella F, Campagna D, Caponnetto P, Amaradio MD, Caruso M, Russo C, et al. Lung function and respiratory symptoms in a randomized smoking cessation trial of electronic cigarettes. Clin Sci. 2016; 130:1929–37.
- Lucchiari C, Masiero M, Mazzocco K, Veronesi G, Maisonneuve P, Jemos C, et al. Benefits of e-cigarettes in smoking reduction and in pulmonary health among chronic smokers undergoing a lung cancer screening program at 6 months. Addict Behav. 2020;103: 106222.
- Hajek P, Phillips-Waller A, Pfzulki D, Pescola F, Myers Smith K, Bisal N, et al. A randomised trial of e-cigarettes versus nicotine replacement therapy. N Engl J Med. 2019;380:629–37.
- George J, Hussain M, Vadiveloo T, Ireland S, Hopkinson P, Struthers AD, et al. Cardiovascular effects of switching from tobacco cigarettes to electronic cigarettes. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;74: 3112–20.
- Berlowitz JB, Xie W, Harlow AF, Hamburg NM, Blaha MJ, Bhatnagar A, et al. E-cigarette use and risk of cardiovascular disease: a longitudinal analysis of the PATH study (2013–2019). Circulation. 2022;145:1557–9.
- Yang I, Sandeep S, Rodriguez J. The oral health impact of electronic cigarette use: a systematic review. Crit Rev Toxicol. 2020;50:97–127.
- National Academies of Sciences Engineering and Medicine. Public Health Consequences of E-cigarettes. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. 2018. Available at: http://nap.edu/24952 (accessed 7 November 2020).
- McNeill A, Brose LS, Calder R, Bauld L, Robson D. Evidence review of e-cigarettes and heated tobacco products 2018. A report commissioned by Public Health England. London: Public Health England. 2018. Available at: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/ government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/ 684963/Evidence\_review\_of\_e-cigarettes\_and\_heated\_tobacco\_ products\_2018.pdf (accessed 7 November 2020).
- 32. Committee on Toxicity of Chemicals in Food Consumer products and the Environment (COT). Statement on the potential toxicological risks from electronic nicotine (and non-nicotine) delivery systems (E (N)NDS – e-cigarettes). 2020. Available at: https://cot.food.gov.uk/ sites/default/files/2020-09/COT%20E%28N%29NDS%20statement %202020-04.pdf (accessed 7 November 2020).
- New Zealand Ministry of Health. Vaping facts. 2020. Available at: https://vapingfacts.health.nz (accessed 7 November 2020).
- Health Canada. Vaping and quitting smoking. 2021. Available at: https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/smokingtobacco/vaping/smokers.html (accessed 7 November 2020).
- 35. Summers JA, Ait Ouakrim D, Wilson N, Blakely T. Updated health and cost impacts of electronic nicotine delivery systems, using recent estimates of relative harm for vaping compared to smoking. Nicotine Tob Res. 2022;24:408–12.
- Levy DT, Tam J, Sanchez-Romero LM, Li Y, Yuan Z, Jeon J, et al. Public health implications of vaping in the USA: the smoking and vaping simulation model. Popul Health Metr. 2021;19:19.

- Mendez D, Warner KE. A magic bullet? The potential impact of Ecigarettes on the toll of cigarette smoking. Nicotine Tob Res. 2021; 23:654-61.
- Baicker K, Chandra A. Evidence-based health policy. N Engl J Med. 2017;377:2413–5.
- 7 News. Exploding e-cigarette killed Florida man, coroner says. 2018. Available at: https://au.news.yahoo.com/exploding-e-cigarettekilled-florida-man-coroner-says-210249594-spt.html (accessed 7 November 2020).
- CNN. Man dead in Texas after e-cigarette explosion. 2019. Available at: https://edition.cnn.com/2019/02/05/health/exploding-vapepen-death-trnd/index.html (accessed 7 November 2020).
- McNeill A, Brose LS, Calder R, Hitchman SC, Hajek P, McRobbie H. E-cigarettes: an evidence update. A report commissioned by Public Health England. PHE Publications Gateway number: 2015260. 2015. Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/ecigarettes-an-evidence-update (accessed 7 November 2020).
- 42. Office of National Statistics. Adult Smoking Habits in the UK: 2021. 2021. Available at: https://www.ons.gov.uk/ peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/ healthandlifeexpectancies/datasets/adultsmokinghabitsinengland (accessed 7 November 2020).
- 43. Federal Drug Administration. Some e-cigarette users are having seizures, most reports involving youth and young adults. 2019. Available at: https://www.fda.gov/tobacco-products/ctp-newsroom/ some-e-cigarette-users-are-having-seizures-most-reports-involvingyouth-and-young-adults (accessed 7 November 2020).
- 44. Benowitz NL. Seizures after vaping nicotine in youth: a canary or a red herring? J Adolesc Health. 2020;66:1–2.
- Wylie C, Heffernan A, Brown JA, Cairns R, Lynch A, Robinson J. Exposures to e-cigarettes and their refills: calls to Australian poisons information centres, 2009–2016. Med J Aust. 2019;210:126.
- Therapeutic Goods Administration. Therapeutic goods (standard for nicotine vaping products) (TGO 110) order. 2021. Available at: https://www.tga.gov.au/standard-unregistered-nicotine-vapingproducts (accessed 7 November 2020).
- Mendelsohn CP, Wodak A, Hall W. Nicotine vaping was not the cause of e-cigarette, or vaping, product use-associated lung injury in the United States. Drug Alcohol Rev. 2023;42(2):258–61. https:// doi.org/10.1111/dar.13533
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Outbreak of Lung Injury Associated with E-Cigarette Use, or Vaping. Atlanta, GA: CDC; 2020. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/basic\_ information/e-cigarettes/severe-lung-disease.html (accessed 7 November 2020).
- Kozlovich S, Harvanko AM, Benowitz N. Vitamin E acetate is not soluble in nicotine E-liquids. Tob Regul Sci. 2021;7:133–5.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2019 Lung Injury Surveillance Primary Case Definitions. 2019. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/basic\_information/e-cigarettes/assets/2019-Lung-Injury-Surveillance-Case-Definition-508.pdf (accessed 7 November 2020).
- 51. Chan BS, Sheppeard V, Dawson AH. E-cigarette or vaping product use-associated lung injury in an adolescent. Med J Aust. 2022; 216:374.
- McKenzie CR, Davis J, Dunlop AJ. Comment: E-cigarette or vaping product use-associated lung injury in an adolescent. Med J Aust. 2022;216:374.
- ABC News. Autopsy finds man most likely died as a result of vaping. 2022. Available at: https://www.abc.net.au/news/2022-02-21/ autopsy-finds-man-most-likely-died-as-a-result-of-vaping/ 100800004 (accessed 7 November 2020).
- Kreiss K, Gomaa A, Kullman G, Fedan K, Simoes EJ, Enright PL. Clinical bronchiolitis obliterans in workers at a microwave-popcorn plant. N Engl J Med. 2002;347:330–8.

- Allen JG, Flanigan SS, LeBlanc M, Vallarino J, MacNaughton P, Stewart JH, et al. Flavoring chemicals in E-cigarettes: diacetyl, 2,3-pentanedione, and acetoin in a sample of 51 products, including fruit-, candy-, and cocktail-flavored E-cigarettes. Environ Health Perspect. 2016;124:733–9.
- Fujioka K, Shibamoto T. Determination of toxic carbonyl compounds in cigarette smoke. Environ Toxicol. 2006;21:47–54.
- Grabovac I, Oberndorfer M, Fischer J, Wiesinger W, Haider S, Dorner TE. Effectiveness of electronic cigarettes in smoking cessation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nicotine Tob Res. 2021; 23:625–34.
- The Joanna Briggs Institute. E-cigarettes for smoking cessation guideline update: technical report of evidence review and summary of findings. 2019. Available at: https://www.racgp.org.au/getmedia/ 2e90f512-a8a8-4c89-b226-56ada993c7f1/ECigarettes-for-Smoking-Cessation-Technical-Report.pdf.aspx (accessed 7 November 2020).
- Chan GCK, Stjepanović D, Lim C, Sun T, Shanmuga Anandan A, Connor JP, et al. A systematic review of randomized controlled trials and network meta-analysis of e-cigarettes for smoking cessation. Addict Behav. 2021;119:106912.
- 60. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Tobacco: preventing uptake, promoting quitting and treating dependence: update. [K] Evidence review for cessation and harm-reduction treatments. NICE Guideline NG209. 2021. Available at: https://www.nice. org.uk/guidance/ng209/evidence/k-cessation-and-harm-reductiontreatments-pdf-10890777861 (accessed 7 November 2020).
- Thomas KH, Dalili MN, López-López JA, Keeney E, Phillippo D, Munafò MR, et al. Smoking cessation medicines and e-cigarettes: a systematic review, network meta-analysis and cost-effectiveness analysis. Health Technol Assess. 2021;25:1–224.
- Chen C, Zhuang YL, Zhu SH. E-cigarette design preference and smoking cessation: a U.S. population study. Am J Prev Med. 2016; 51:356–63.
- Hitchman SC, Brose LS, Brown J, Robson D, McNeill A. Associations between E-cigarette type, frequency of use, and quitting smoking: findings from a longitudinal online panel survey in Great Britain. Nicotine Tob Res. 2015;17:1187–94.
- 64. Kasza KA, Edwards KC, Kimmel HL, Anesetti-Rothermel A, Cummings KM, Niaura RS, et al. Association of e-cigarette use with discontinuation of cigarette smoking among adult smokers who were initially never planning to quit. JAMA Netw Open. 2021;4: e2140880.
- 65. Foulds J, Cobb CO, Yen MS, Veldheer S, Brosnan P, Yingst J, et al. Effect of electronic nicotine delivery systems on cigarette abstinence in smokers with no plans to quit: exploratory analysis of a randomized placebo-controlled trial. Nicotine Tob Res. 2022;24:955–61.
- 66. Goldenson NI, Shiffman S, Hatcher C, Lamichhane D, Gaggar A, Le GM, et al. Switching away from cigarettes across 12 months among adult smokers purchasing the JUUL system. Am J Health Behav. 2021;45:443–63.
- 67. Adriaens K, Belmans E, Van Gucht D, Baeyens F. Electronic cigarettes in standard smoking cessation treatment by tobacco counselors in Flanders: e-cigarette users show similar if not higher quit rates as those using commonly recommended smoking cessation aids. Harm Reduct J. 2021;18:28.
- Kotz D, Jackson S, Brown J, Kastaun S. The effectiveness of Ecigarettes for smoking cessation. Dtsch Arztebl Int. 2022;119: 297–301.
- Chambers MS. Effect of vaping on past-year smoking cessation success of Australians in 2019—evidence from a national survey. Addiction. 2022;117:2306–15.
- Zhu SH, Zhuang YL, Wong S, Cummins SE, Tedeschi GJ. E-cigarette use and associated changes in population smoking cessation: evidence from US current population surveys. BMJ. 2017;358:j3262.

- National Center for Health Statistics. Percentage of current cigarette smoking for adults aged 18 and over, United States, 2019 Q1, Jan-Mar–2022 Q1, Jan-Mar. National Health Interview Survey; 2022. Available at: https://wwwn.cdc.gov/NHISDataQueryTool/ER\_ Quarterly/index\_quarterly.html (accessed 7 November 2020).
- Lawlor DA, Tilling K, Davey SG. Triangulation in aetiological epidemiology. Int J Epidemiol. 2016;45:1866–86.
- Munafò MR, Davey SG. Robust research needs many lines of evidence. Nature. 2018;553:399–401.
- 74. Borland R, Murray K, Gravely S, Fong GT, Thompson ME, McNeill A, et al. A new classification system for describing concurrent use of nicotine vaping products alongside cigarettes (so-called 'dual use'): findings from the ITC-4 country smoking and vaping wave 1 survey. Addiction. 2019;114:24–34.
- McRobbie H, Phillips A, Goniewicz ML, Smith KM, Knight-West O, Przulj D, et al. Effects of switching to electronic cigarettes with and without concurrent smoking on exposure to nicotine, carbon monoxide, and Acrolein. Cancer Prev Res. 2015;8:873–8.
- Pulvers K, Emami AS, Nollen NL, Romero DR, Strong DR, Benowitz NL, et al. Tobacco consumption and toxicant exposure of cigarette smokers using electronic cigarettes. Nicotine Tob Res. 2018;20:206–14.
- Selya AS, Shiffman S, Greenberg M, Augustson EM. Dual use of cigarettes and JUUL: trajectory and cigarette consumption. Am J Health Behav. 2021;45:464–85.
- Polosa R, Morjaria J, Caponnetto P, Caruso M, Strano S, Battaglia E, et al. Effect of smoking abstinence and reduction in asthmatic smokers switching to electronic cigarettes: evidence for harm reversal. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2014;11:4965–77.
- 79. Nicksic NE, Barnes AJ. Is susceptibility to E-cigarettes among youth associated with tobacco and other substance use behaviors one year later? Results from the PATH study. Prev Med. 2019;121:109–14.
- Hall W, Chan G. The 'gateway' effect of e-cigarettes may be explained by a genetic liability to risk-taking. PLOS Med. 2021;18: e1003554.
- Cambron C, Thackeray KJ. Socioeconomic differences in lifetime and past 30-day E-cigarette, cigarette, and dual use: a state-level analysis of Utah youth. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19:7557.
- Vanyukov MM, Tarter RE, Kirillova GP, Kirisci L, Reynolds MD, Kreek MJ, et al. Common liability to addiction and 'gateway hypothesis': theoretical, empirical and evolutionary perspective. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2012;123:S3–S17.
- Sun R, Mendez D, Warner KE. Is adolescent E-cigarette use associated with subsequent smoking? A new look. Nicotine Tob Res. 2022; 24:710–8.
- 84. Chan GCK, Stjepanovic D, Lim C, Sun T, Shanmuga Anandan A, Connor JP, et al. Gateway or common liability? A systematic review and meta-analysis of studies of adolescent e-cigarette use and future smoking initiation. Addiction. 2021;116:743–56.
- Levy DT, Warner KE, Cummings KM, Hammond D, Kuo C, Fong GT, et al. Examining the relationship of vaping to smoking initiation among US youth and young adults: a reality check. Tob Control. 2019;28:629–35.
- Ministry of Health New Zealand. New Zealand Health Survey 2020/21. 2022. Available at: https://www.health.govt.nz/ publication/annual-update-key-results-2020-21-new-zealandhealth-survey (accessed 7 November 2020).
- NHS Digital. Smoking, drinking and drug use among young people in England, 2021. 2022. Available at: https://digital.nhs.uk/data-andinformation/publications/statistical/smoking-drinking-and-drug-useamong-young-people-in-england/2021 (accessed 7 November 2020).
- Walker N, Parag V, Wong SF, Youdan B, Broughton B, Bullen C, et al. Use of e-cigarettes and smoked tobacco in youth aged 14–15 years in New Zealand: findings from repeated cross-sectional studies (2014–19). Lancet Public Health. 2020;5:e204–12.

1191

#### DDICTION

- Sokol NA, Feldman JM. High school seniors who used E-cigarettes may have otherwise been cigarette smokers: evidence from monitoring the future (United States, 2009–2018). Nicotine Tob Res. 2021; 23:1958–61.
- Selya AS, Foxon F. Trends in electronic cigarette use and conventional smoking: quantifying a possible 'diversion' effect among US adolescents. Addiction. 2021;116:1848–58.
- 91. ASH New Zealand. ASH year 10 snapshot survey 2021. 2021. Available at: https://assets.nationbuilder.com/ashnz/pages/211/ attachments/original/1645983761/2021\_ASH\_Y10\_Snapshot\_ Topline\_smoking\_and\_vaping.pdf?1645983761 (accessed 7 November 2020).
- Glasser AM, Johnson AL, Niaura RS, Abrams DB, Pearson JL. Youth vaping and tobacco use in context in the United States: results from the 2018 National Youth Tobacco Survey. Nicotine Tob Res. 2021; 23:447–53.
- Shahab L, Beard E, Brown J. Association of initial e-cigarette and other tobacco product use with subsequent cigarette smoking in adolescents: a cross-sectional, matched control study. Tob Control. 2021;30:212–20.
- 94. Sun T, Lim CCW, Stjepanović D, Leung J, Connor JP, Gartner C, et al. Has increased youth e-cigarette use in the USA, between 2014 and 2020, changed conventional smoking behaviors, future intentions to smoke and perceived smoking harms? Addict Behav. 2021;123: 107073.
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW). National Drug Strategy Household Survey 2019. Drug Statistics Series no. 32. PHE 270. Canberra, Australia: AIHW; 2020. Available at: https://www. aihw.gov.au/reports/illicit-use-of-drugs/national-drug-strategyhousehold-survey-2019/contents/summary (accessed 7 November 2020).
- National Center for Health Statistics. Early release of selected estimates based on data from the 2020 National Health Interview Survey. 2021. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhis/earlyrelease/EarlyRelease202108-508.pdf (accessed 7 November 2020).
- Fagerström K. Can alternative nicotine products put the final nail in the smoking coffin? Harm Reduct J. 2022;19:131.
- Beard E, Jackson SE, West R, Kuipers MAG, Brown J. Populationlevel predictors of changes in success rates of smoking quit attempts in England: a time-series analysis. Addiction. 2020;115:315–25.
- Johnson L, Ma Y, Fisher SL, Ramsey AT, Chen LS, Hartz SM, et al. E-cigarette usage is associated with increased past-12-month quit attempts and successful smoking cessation in two US populationbased surveys. Nicotine Tob Res. 2019;21:1331–8.
- West R, Kock L, Kale D, Brown J. Smoking Toolkit Study. 2022. Available at: https://smokinginengland.info/graphs/top-line-findings (accessed 7 November 2020).

- 101. Beard E, West R, Michie S, Brown J. Association of prevalence of electronic cigarette use with smoking cessation and cigarette consumption in England: a time-series analysis between 2006 and 2017. Addiction. 2020;115:961-74.
- Rosen LJ, Galili T, Kott J, Rees V. Beyond 'safe and effective': the urgent need for high-impact smoking cessation medications. Prev Med. 2021;150:106567.
- 103. Caraballo RS, Shafer PR, Patel D, Davis KC, McAfee TA. Quit methods used by US adult cigarette smokers, 2014–2016. Prev Chronic Dis. 2017;14:E32.
- 104. Levy DT, Gartner C, Liber AC, Sanchez-Romero LM, Yuan Z, Li Y, et al. The Australia smoking and vaping model: the potential impact of increasing access to nicotine vaping products. Nicotine Tob Res. 2022. https://doi.org/10.1093/ntr/ntac210. Online ahead of print.
- Beaglehole R, Bates C, Youdan B, Bonita R. Nicotine without smoke: fighting the tobacco epidemic with harm reduction. Lancet. 2019; 394:718–20.
- 106. Banks E, Joshy G, Weber MF, Liu B, Grenfell R, Egger S, et al. Tobacco smoking and all-cause mortality in a large Australian cohort study: findings from a mature epidemic with current low smoking prevalence. BMC Med. 2015;13:38.
- 107. Morphett K, Hall W, Gartner C. The misuse of the precautionary principle in justifying Australia's ban on the sale of nicotine vaping products. Nicotine Tob Res. 2021;23:14–20.
- 108. National Health and Medical Research Council. Electronic Cigarette Working Committee. 2020. Available at: https://www.nhmrc.gov.au/ about-us/leadership-and-governance/committees/electroniccigarettes-working-committee (accessed 7 November 2020).
- 109. National Health and Medical Research Council. CEO statement: Electronic cigarettes (E-cigarettes). 2017. Available at: https://www.nhmrc.gov.au/\_files\_nhmrc/file/publications/17072\_nhmrc\_-\_ electronic\_cigarettes-web\_final.pdf (accessed 7 November 2020).
- Balfour DJK, Benowitz NL, Colby SM, Hatsukami DK, Lando HA, Leischow SJ, et al. Balancing consideration of the risks and benefits of E-cigarettes. Am J Public Health. 2021;e1–e12.

How to cite this article: Mendelsohn CP, Hall W, Borland R, Wodak A, Beaglehole R, Benowitz NL, et al. A critique of the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council CEO statement on electronic cigarettes. Addiction. 2023;118(6): 1184–92. https://doi.org/10.1111/add.16143